Marmot Union Cataloging Committee
Wednesday, June 28, 2017
Minutes

X	Jamie Walker [Chair]	CMU		X	Betsey Dick	MCPLD
X	Mary Walsh & Nicole Trujillo	Adams State		X	Shelly Fratzke	SD#51
	Gail Owens	Basalt		X	Wendi Weinstein	Pine River
X	Nina Darlington	Bud Werner			Carol McArdell	PCL
X	Kim Grindrod, Charlene Walker, Jan Quick	BVPL			Debbie Barnes	Rampart LD
X	Karen Neville	CCU			Becky Nelson & Kristin Trombo	Salida
	Amy Currier	CMC Glenwood		X	Sarah Nordholm	Summit Co
	Chris Bockstiegel	CMC Leadville			Jo Norris	VPL
	Jonathan Beam	CMC Steamboat		X	Nicole Becwar	WSCU
X	Diane Levin & Jolanda Durbin	EVLD			Amy Sieving, Alison Farnham	WPL
	Selene Gardner	EPL		X	Nancy Lindwedel	Marmot
	Martha Talman, Lara Aase	FLC		X	Lloyd Chittenden	Marmot
	Amy Shipley	Garfield Co			Brandon Cole	Marmot
	Sarah Greenberg	Grand Co			Mark Noble	Marmot
	Jennifer Hillebrandt	Gunnison			Jimmy Thomas	Marmot

Action Items:
Completed

Action	Report
• Decision on Pika setting to delay suppression of order records.	Steamboat is using a workaround to avoid this problem and Garfield doesn't consider it urgent enough to push for Pika programming, so we will drop this issue for now.
• Update load and export profiles to accommodate 10 digit OCLC numbers and new prefix.	III has already updated our load profiles and export profiles to accommodate the 10 digit numbers. However, anyone doing processes in MarcEdit should be aware this is coming and fix your process accordingly. Prefix on 10-digit numbers will be "oc."
• Dup committee finds that export of OCLC prefix makes no difference in Pika.	Dup committee experimented and found that searching OCLC numbers in Pika without prefixes works fine. It's only a minor inconvenience to copy the number out of Pika. UCC decides the small advantage would not be worth the possible risks of deviating from standard III practice of re-adding the prefix.
• Develop training material on new ISBN create list process.	Tammy has completed training material on how to create a review file with a list of ISBNs. It is on the Marmot training website.
• Batch process check SD51 records in OCLC to look for bad Bib Util numbers – finished.	Nancy has finished checking the SD51 records that were not identified as OCLC records for whether or not the 001 numbers were OCLC numbers. 001 numbers found not to be OCLC had the prefix BAD added. Spot checking has found there seem to be some errors in this process. Some good OCLC numbers were identified as bad. Lloyd will double check the work.
• MARCIVE bid approved by Board (stopped LTI Q2 update).	The Marmot Board approved the expenditure to switch to the MARCIVE authority vendor. *Lloyd** will send out an email with the details on preparing for the authority work.
• Searched "nozero" records in SD51, found very few bad ones – mostly cleared up	Many SD51 records with no zeros in the 001 were checked to see if they had good OCLC numbers. The percentage of bad records found was tiny. We know there are still bad 001 numbers that lost their zeros, but unless we can focus our search for them better, this is probably not a useful project.

Ongoing

Action	Responsible parties	Report
538 or item field for CMU last copy project	Lloyd/Jamie	Jamie thinks Rose said it was OK to use a 538 field in the item record. He will double check.
OCLC reclamation projects if needed after 001 batch clean up processes	Lloyd	We are continuing our internal 001 checking process before we decide if we want to do reclamation.
From Duplicate Committee: develop a process to find duplicates between the 001 and 019 fields	Dup Committee	Not discussed in Dup Committee this month.
Update cataloging standards document, bring updated version of it back to the UCC, and get it up on the web page	Lloyd	Lloyd has been working on the Google doc. This will be updated significantly with RDA changes and examples.
Batch processing Adams State records for bad Bib Util numbers.	Nancy	Still working on this.
Why are some Hoopla videos not grouping?	Lloyd, Nancy	No update.

Discussion topics

Topic	Report
Why do we treat 001's differently in different load profiles? Single translation map?	OCLC loaders behave differently from vendor loaders. We consider that it could avoid problems if the vendor loader also stripped OCLC prefixes if it found them. However, it is pointed out that vendors could supply old OCLC records, and they could overlay newer versions in our database. Maybe the loader could be set to only overlay if there's a match in the 001 not the 019. If the 019 were fully indexed we would see duplicates in headings reports but would not have to match on record loads. 001 fields without any prefixes could get a prefix added so they won't overlay an existing OCLC number. This will be a bigger problem when OCLC goes to 10 digits. ***Duplicates committee** meeting will follow up (August dup committee meeting).
MUG 2017 cataloging training?	We are thinking that Karen could do a pre-conference training on classification the morning of MUG 2017. We discuss what that might cover. LC or Dewey? Web-based or paper? Could Marmot get a group subscription to WebDewey and or LC Classification Web? Lloyd had found that LC will not allow consortia to get group subscriptions, but he does not know about WebDewey. Sarah finds that New Hampshire has a group subscription to WebDewey, so maybe that is possible. ***Tammy** will do a survey to determine which systems are being used and what people want training on. ***Lloyd** – investigate WebDewey group subscription.
Juvenile headings from MARCIVE?	LC has a second subject vocabulary for children. Our policy with LTI has always been to remove these headings from our records. This may have been because they often duplicated LCSH headings, and we didn't have enough control over the OPAC to prevent them from displaying and creating confusion. The question is do we want to continue that practice? What do we want to do with these headings? Everyone thinks we should leave them alone now. We find that Pika can already be set to only display LCSH headings. ACP headings would probably be in the category of "other headings" that Pika is already able to turn on or off. It could be programmed to prevent display of these if they duplicate LCSH, which they often do. Maybe we could have MARCIVE add these back to our data? People like that idea if it would not cost much. ***Lloyd** – check on this
Get records ready for MARCIVE	No A.C. in 958 fields by 7/21/2017 ***Lloyd** – send email reminder. Takes about two weeks to get all our records out and reloaded. This should happen the first two weeks of August. MARCIVE will update our records to RDA hybrid records as well as they can with automation.
RDA Renewal	Everyone agrees that we should try reducing to 4 users. ***Lloyd** – follow up with Brandon.

New Action Items

Lloyd	Send out an email with the details on preparing for the authority work
Duplicates committee	Follow up in August on question of how should different loaders deal with OCLC prefixes, and non-prefixed numbers
Tammy	Do a survey to determine which systems are being used and what people want training on.
Lloyd	Investigate WebDewey group subscription.
Lloyd	Check if MARCIVE can add juvenile headings back
Lloyd	Send email reminder about authority preparation
Lloyd	Follow up with Brandon on renewing RDA

July Duplicates Sub-committee meeting: Cancelled because of Lloyd's vacation

Next UCC meeting: July 26, 2017, 9-11am MT